### Case 2:13-cv-02128-JD Document 1 Filed 04/19/13 Page 1 of 11

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

#### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declert sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
BRANDON HILLMAN			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)  Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI		III. CI		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifi	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	2 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			IF DEF 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(T //Blace on #V2 in One Boy Only)		reign Country			
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	F	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<ul> <li>□ 110 Insurance</li> <li>□ 120 Marine</li> <li>□ 130 Miller Act</li> <li>□ 140 Negotiable Instrument</li> <li>□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment &amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> <li>□ 151 Medicare Act</li> <li>□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)</li> <li>□ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits</li> <li>□ 160 Stockholders' Suits</li> <li>□ 190 Other Contract</li> <li>□ 195 Contract Product Liability</li> <li>□ 196 Franchise</li> <li>■ 210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>□ 220 Foreclosure</li> <li>□ 230 Rent Lease &amp; Ejectment</li> <li>□ 240 Torts to Land</li> <li>□ 245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>□ 290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	t Slander 368 Asbestos Persona 30 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud		0 Agriculture 10 Other Food & Drug 15 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 10 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 10 Airline Regs. 10 Occupational Safety/Health 10 Other  EABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 10 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 10 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act 10 Other Labor Litigation 11 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 11 Immigration Application 12 Habeas Corpus 13 Habeas Corpus 14 Cother Immigration 15 Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  **PROPERTY RIGHTS** □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark  **SOCIAL SECURITY* □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  **FEDERAL FAX SUITS** □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations  ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service ■ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange ■ 875 Customer Challenge ■ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts	
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only)  emoved from	Reop	pened another	Terred from Grant 6 Multidistr for district Litigation fy) Litigation al statutes unless diversity):	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause:					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Fair Debt Collection Practices Action CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		EMAND	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:						
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						

#### Case 2:13-cv-02128-JD Document 1 Filed 04/19/13 Page 2 of 11

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

BRANDON HILLMAN		: CDAT ACTION				
v. NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	IS, INC.	: CIVIL ACTION : : NO. :				
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant sh	se Management Tra e a copy on all defen- went that a defendanall, with its first apparance.	and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counse tack Designation Form in all civil cases at the tine dants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenue dant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding pearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve of track Designation Form specifying the track to wated.	ne of verse said n the			
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CAS	E MANAGEMENT TRACKS:				
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration – Cases requir	red to be designated	l for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
commonly referred to as o	complex and that ne	into tracks (a) through (d) that are eed special or intense management by a detailed explanation of special	( )			
,	Cases that do not fa	Il into any one of the other tracks.	()			
(1) 2 minutes 1.1mingement	The value we have two		( )			
04/19/2013 Date	Craig Thor Kimme Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff, Brandon Hillman Attorney for				
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

## Case 2:13-cv-02128-JD Document 1 Filed 04/19/13 Page 3 of 11

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: PO Box 941, Ponono Summit, PA 18346 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA 19044 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? NoX Ves 🗆 (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) No⊠ Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: \_ Judge \_ Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ NοX 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No🏻 CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. □ Airplane Personal Injury □ FELA □ Assault, Defamation 3. Dones Act-Personal Injury □ Marine Personal Injury 4. 

Antitrust 5. 

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. Patent 6. □ Labor-Management Relations Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. D Civil Rights □ Products Liability □ Products Liability — Asbestos 8. 

Habeas Corpus 9. Decurities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) L Craig Thor Kimmel counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of the knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 57100 DATE: 04/19/2013 Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case/fow pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 57100 DATE: 04/19/2013 Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

BRANDON HILLMAN, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated,	) )
Plaintiff	) Case No.:
V.	) CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,	) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
Defendants	) (Cinawiai Debt Concetion Fractices)

#### **COMPLAINT - CLASS ACTION**

Plaintiff, BRANDON HILLMAN ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by way of Complaint against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"), says:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. This is a consumer class action brought on behalf of consumers against a debt collector for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et. seq. ("FDCPA"). This law prohibits debt collectors from engaging in false, deceptive, misleading or unfair collection practices.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §\$1331.
  - 3. Venue lies in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1).

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, Brandon Hillman, is a natural person residing in Pocono Summit, Pennsylvania.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff was a citizen of, and resided within the boundaries of the Middle District of Pennsylvania.
  - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in §1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant was a collector of consumer debt within the meaning of section 1692a(6) of the FDCPA, with offices at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING PLAINTIFF

- 8. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect a consumer debt.
- 9. The debt at issue, a student loan, arose out of transactions which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 10. On or around March 11, 2013, Defendant sent correspondence to Plaintiff demanding payment of an alleged debt. See Exhibit A, 3/11/13 Letter.
  - 11. The March 11, 2013 letter was Defendant's initial communication with Plaintiff.
- 12. Because Defendant's March 11, 2013 was Defendant's initial communication with Plaintiff, 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a) requires that Defendant's correspondence contain the following language:
  - (1) the amount of the debt;
  - (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
  - (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;

- (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
- (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. (emphasis added)
- 13. Despite this requirement, Defendant's March 11, 2013 correspondence states the following:

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

- 14. Under the plain language of the FDCPA, a consumer must **dispute** the debt in writing in order to trigger the protections of 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(4).
- 15. However, Defendant's notice fails to include the language notifying Plaintiff that he must **dispute** the debt or any portion thereof, in order for Defendant to be required to send verification.
- 16. The FDCPA clearly states that a written dispute, and not merely a written request for verification, triggers the protections of the statute. See 15 U.S.C. §1692g(b).

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 17. This action is brought as a class action. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of himself and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
  - 18. This claim is brought on behalf of a Plaintiff Class, consisting of a class of
    - a. All consumers in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to whom, during the one year period prior to the filing of this action and continuing through the resolution of this action, Defendant sent a letter containing the language substantially in the form of that detailed in paragraph 13 above, and attached hereto as Exhibit A in an attempt to collect any consumer debt.
- 19. The identities of all Class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants.
- 20. Excluded from the Class are the Defendants and all of their respective officers, members, partners, managers, directors, and employees of the Defendants and all of their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action and all members of their immediate families.
- 21. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal common question includes whether the FDCPA was violated by Defendant through its use of a standardized form collection letter that omits the specific language required to be included pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(4).

- 22. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
  - (a) <u>Numerosity:</u> Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical. Although the precise number of Class members is known only to Defendant, Defendant is one of the nation's largest debt collectors, and Defendant regularly collects or attempts to collect debt from Pennsylvania consumers.
  - (b) <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the FDCPA was violated by Defendant through its use of a standardized form collection letter that omits the specific language required to be included pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(4).
  - (c) <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.

    Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
  - (c) Adequacy: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel, Kimmel & Silverman, P.C., experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither Plaintiff nor their counsel has any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue

the instant class action lawsuit.

- (d) Superiority: A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 23. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is appropriate because adjudications with respect to individual members create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications which could establish incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant, who, on information and belief, collect debts throughout the United States of America.<sup>1</sup>
- 24. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

#### **CLAIMS**

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED §1692g(a)(4) OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AS TO PLAINTIFF AND THE CLASS

25. Section 1692g(a)(4) of the FDCPA requires a debt collector to inform the consumer in its initial communication that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing

Notably class actions are already pending against Defendants in New York (<u>Friedman v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc.</u>, Docket No. 7:13-cv-02339-ER); and Rhode Island (<u>Santilli v. NCO Financial Systems, Inc.</u>, Docket No. 1:13-cv-00149-S-PAS), for similar claims, but different class parameters.

within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector.

26. Here, Defendant violated §1692g(a)(4) of the FDCPA by omitting in its initial collection letters to the Class that the consumer must dispute the debt in writing to invoke the consumer's verification rights.

#### TRIAL BY JURY

27. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, by and through his attorneys, respectfully prays for relief as follows:

- a. That an Order be entered certifying the proposed Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and appointing Plaintiff and his counsel to represent the Class;
- b. That judgment be entered against each Defendant for actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- c. That judgment be entered against each Defendant for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(B);
- d. That the Court award costs and reasonable attorneys fees, pursuant to 15
   U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3);
- e. An incentive award, in the discretion of the Court, for the time, effort and expense incurred by Plaintiff in connection with this action; and
- f. That the Court grants such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DATED: 04/19/2013

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

BY:

Craig Thor Kimmel Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Avenue Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 148

Fax: (877) 788-2864 Fax

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com